

The exact phase diagram for a class of multispecies asymmetric exclusion processes

Arvind Ayyer* and Dipankar Roy†

Department of Mathematics,
Indian Institute of Science,
Bangalore - 560012, India

(Dated: November 8, 2016)

We consider a multispecies asymmetric exclusion process (mASEP) with r species of positively and negatively charged particles, and vacancies, on a finite interval. We derive the full $(r+2)$ -dimensional nonequilibrium phase diagram of the mASEP and give a complete explanation of all its macroscopic features. We find two new nonequilibrium signatures: *dynamical expulsion* when the density of a particle type becomes zero throughout the system, and *dynamical localization* when the density of a particle type is nonzero only within a region far from the boundaries.

PACS numbers: 05.70.Ln, 05.70.Fh, 64.60.De, 02.50.Ey

Keywords: exclusion process, multispecies, phase diagram, projection, open system

The asymmetric simple exclusion process (ASEP) with open boundaries, has played a central role in our understanding of the nonequilibrium statistical mechanics of one-dimensional systems. Its importance was established beginning with the exact solvability of its nonequilibrium steady state (NESS) [1]. The exact calculation of various out-of-equilibrium quantities in the ASEP [2] was instrumental in formulating general principles for driven diffusive systems, namely, the additivity principle [3] and the macroscopic fluctuation theory [4]. Not only are ASEPs of theoretical importance, but they also have implications for a variety of experiments including transport in molecular motors [5], traffic flow [6], and a variety of other systems [7]. Exclusion processes with multiple species have been studied before [8] and have applications to studies of cell motility [9], chemotaxis [10] and chemical reactions [11].

Exact solutions of model systems have played an important role in the development of both equilibrium and nonequilibrium statistical mechanics. We show that a model open system, with r species of charges that are driven by an electric field, known as the mASEP [12], can be solved exactly. We find novel signatures of nonequilibrium phenomena, obtain the exact nonequilibrium phase diagram in the $(1/a, 1/b)$ plane, where a and b are related to the parameters that define these models (see below), and characterize every nonequilibrium phase by their unique density profiles and currents. The *crucial* ingredient of our solution is an *exact mapping* of this class of models onto the semipermeable ASEP, whose exact solution is given in Refs. [13–15]. We show how our method can be used to solve a variety of r -species ASEPs, even more general than the class considered in Ref. [12]. Furthermore, our mapping allows us to show that the NESS, in the different phases of our model, display a *nested fat shock* structure. The latter is a succession of fat shocks, one contained inside the other, where we define a fat shock [14], to be a macroscopic region in which all particles of a group of (positive and negative) types

accumulate. We use numerical simulations to show the rich spatiotemporal evolution of such nested fat shocks.

The mASEP is defined on a one-dimensional lattice of size n , where each site is occupied by exactly one particle of type $\{\bar{r}, \dots, \bar{1}, 0, 1, \dots, r\}$. The barred particles are negative charges, the unbarred ones are positive charges, and 0's are vacancies. There are r species of charges, with the total number of particles of charge j being fixed to be n_j for $1 \leq j \leq r$. As a consequence, the number n_0 of vacancies is also fixed. The dynamics is the effect of a rightward-pointing electric field. In the bulk, we have the asymmetric hopping rule

$$i \ j \longrightarrow j \ i \quad \text{with rate} \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i > j, \\ q & \text{if } i < j, \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

where $q < 1$. On the boundaries, negative charges can replace and be replaced only by their positively charged partners. The rates on the left and right boundaries are

$$\begin{array}{c|c} \text{Left} & \text{Right} \\ \hline \bar{j} \longrightarrow j & j \longrightarrow \bar{j} \\ j \longrightarrow \bar{j} & \bar{j} \longrightarrow j \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{with rate } \alpha, \\ \text{with rate } \gamma, \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{with rate } \beta, \\ \text{with rate } \delta. \end{array} \quad (2)$$

The model with $r = 1$ and $n_0 = 0$ is the single-species open ASEP [1]; for arbitrary n_0 , this is the semipermeable ASEP [15]. Furthermore, if $q = \gamma = \delta = 0$, this is the semipermeable TASEP [14]. We thus denote the mASEP with $q = \gamma = \delta = 0$ as the mTASEP.

The mASEP is ergodic, and hence possesses a unique NESS. Further, the mASEP possesses charge-conjugation symmetry: interchanging positively and negatively charged particles as well as the rates 1 and q , α and β , and γ and δ , and changing the direction of motion leaves the mASEP invariant.

For each integer j between 0 and r , let $\theta_j = n_j/n$ be the total density of particles of species j . We consider the behavior of the mASEP in the limit $n \rightarrow \infty$ and $n_j \rightarrow \infty$ for each j such that the total density of species

j particles converges to θ_j . Define the quantities

$$\begin{aligned} a &= \frac{1 - q - \alpha + \gamma + \sqrt{(1 - q - \alpha + \gamma)^2 + 4\alpha\gamma}}{2\alpha}, \\ b &= \frac{1 - q - \beta + \delta + \sqrt{(1 - q - \beta + \delta)^2 + 4\beta\delta}}{2\beta}, \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

and the function $f(x) = 1/(1+x)$. Set $\Theta_k = (\theta_k + \dots + \theta_r)/2$ and $\phi_k = \Theta_k/(1 - \Theta_k)$ for $1 \leq k \leq r$. Then the exact phase diagram is given in Fig. 1. The proof follows by projections to the semipermeable ASEP as we explain below.




FIG. 1. (Color online) The nonequilibrium phase diagram of the mASEP with r species of charges where the order parameter is the bulk density. Each region is labeled by the largest type of particle which has phase-separated and by $\emptyset$ if there is no phase separation. See Table I for the densities in the NESS in the different regions here.

The nonequilibrium phase diagram of our r -species mASEP in Fig. 1 comprises $2r + 1$ phases, $\bar{r}, \dots, \bar{1}, \emptyset, \underline{1}, \dots, \underline{r}$, each one of which is characterized by the bulk densities ρ_j of all types of particles; we tabulate these in Table I. The mean densities of the j and $\bar{j}$ jump discontinuously across the $\bar{j} - \bar{j}$ boundary. By contrast, the mean densities vary continuously along the $\bar{j} - (\bar{j} + \underline{1})$ (and $\bar{j} - (\bar{j} + \underline{1})$) boundary. All currents $J_j \equiv -J_{\bar{j}}$ change continuously across all phase boundaries in Fig. 1. In all phases except $\emptyset$, the system shows phase coexistence with a sharp interface separating regions of different density for some particle type, as we show by the illustrative density profiles in Fig. 2 for the mASEP with $r = 1$ and Fig. 4 for the mTASEP with $r = 2$.

To derive the currents and density profiles of our model, we will appeal to the semipermeable TASEP [14],

Phase	Densities in the bulk	
	Species k	Values of $\rho_k, \rho_{\bar{k}}$
$\emptyset$	$k = 0$	$\rho_0 = \theta_0$
	$1 \leq k \leq r$	$\rho_k = \rho_{\bar{k}} = \theta_k/2$
$\bar{j}$	$k = 0$	ρ_0 piecewise constant
	$1 \leq k \leq j-1$	$\rho_{\bar{k}} = 0$ ρ_k piecewise constant
	$k = j$	$\rho_{\bar{j}} = f(b) - \Theta_{j+1}$ ρ_j piecewise constant
	$j+1 \leq k \leq r$	$\rho_k = \rho_{\bar{k}} = \theta_k/2$
$\bar{j}$	$k = 0$	piecewise constant
	$1 \leq k \leq j-1$	$\rho_k = 0$ $\rho_{\bar{k}}$ piecewise constant
	$k = j$	$\rho_j = f(a) - \Theta_{j+1}$ $\rho_{\bar{j}}$ piecewise constant
	$j+1 \leq k \leq r$	$\rho_k = \rho_{\bar{k}} = \theta_k/2$
$\bar{j} - \bar{j}$ boundary	$k = 0$	ρ_0 piecewise linear
	$1 \leq k \leq j-1$	$\rho_k, \rho_{\bar{k}}$ piecewise linear
	$j+1 \leq k \leq r$	$\rho_k = \rho_{\bar{k}} = \theta_k/2$

TABLE I. The densities of all types of particles in phase $\emptyset$, as well as phases $\bar{j}$, $\bar{j}$ and the $\bar{j} - \bar{j}$ boundary for $1 \leq j \leq r$. Piecewise constant densities correspond to phase separation and piecewise linear profiles correspond to averaging over shocks. The exact formulas can be calculated from the schematic plots in the top row of Figure 3.

where these were understood using a *current-density relation* and a *coloring argument*. These two ideas led to the construction of a *fat shock*, a macroscopic region in which all the 0's are present. We show by numerical simulations in Fig. 2 that the fat-shock picture also holds for the semipermeable ASEP.

From the dynamical rules of the semipermeable ASEP, it is clear that $J_0 = 0$ and $J_1 = -J_{\bar{1}}$. In region $\emptyset \equiv C$, the fat shock permeates the system and all densities are constant. The density of 1's and $\bar{1}$'s is $\rho_1 = \rho_{\bar{1}} = \theta_1/2$ and their current is $J_1 = (1-q)(1-\theta_0^2)/4$. In region $\bar{1} \equiv A$, the fat shock is pinned to the right, the density of 1's is $\rho_1 = f(a)$ throughout and the current is $J_1 = (1-q)f(a)(1-f(a))$. In region $\underline{1} \equiv B$, the fat shock is pinned to the left, the density of $\bar{1}$'s is $\rho_{\bar{1}} = f(b)$ throughout and the current is $J_1 = (1-q)f(b)(1-f(b))$. On the $A-B$ boundary, the two ends of the shock perform synchronized oscillations so as to keep the width fixed.

The key idea that completely explains the phase diagram of the mASEP in Fig. 1 is that we can make many coloring arguments from the mASEP with r species to the semipermeable ASEP; in fact r of them. To see this, fix $1 \leq k \leq r$ and imagine that we cannot distinguish between these three sets of particles: $\{k, \dots, r\}$, $\{\bar{k}, \dots, \bar{r}\}$ and $\{k-1, \dots, \bar{1}, 0, 1, \dots, k-1\}$. Denote these by $1'$, $\bar{1}'$ and $0'$ respectively. We call this the k -coloring. Then the k -colored mASEP that we see is exactly the semipermeable ASEP with $n_{0'} = n_0 + \dots + n_{k-1}$. This is true not




FIG. 2. (Color online) Plots of the densities of particles 1 (green squares), 0 (red diamonds), and $\bar{1}$ (blue circles), versus the scaled position $x = m/n$ for the semipermeable ASEP with $n = 200$, $\theta_0 = 0.3$ and $\theta_1 = 0.7$ in the regions (a) A ($\alpha = 0.19, \beta = 0.78, \gamma = 0.41, \delta = 0.63, q = 0.47$), (b) B ($\alpha = 0.89, \beta = 0.34, \gamma = 0.43, \delta = 0.63, q = 0.59$), (c) C ($\alpha = 0.84, \beta = 0.89, \gamma = 0.61, \delta = 0.43, q = 0.59$), and the (d) A-B boundary ($\alpha = \beta = 0.34, \gamma = \delta = 0.63, q = 0.52$).

only in the bulk, but also at the boundaries. As a result, this coloring is exact, and the mASEP with r species projects to the semipermeable ASEP. From the various k -colorings, we obtain the densities (as summarized in Table I) and currents (given below) of all species in all regions. Since there are fat shocks in the semipermeable ASEP, we obtain a sequence of fat shocks in the r -species mASEP, one contained inside the other, which we call a *nested fat shock*. See the density plots in various phases of Fig. 4 for the result of simulations of the mTASEP with $r = 2$.

Region 0: The currents are given by

$$J_k = \begin{cases} (1-q)(\Theta_k - \Theta_{k+1})(1 - \Theta_k - \Theta_{k+1}) & 1 \leq k < r, \\ (1-q)\Theta_r(1 - \Theta_r) & k = r. \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

Since all particles have uniform densities, there is no nested fat shock in this region.

Regions $\bar{j}$ and $\bar{j}$: The currents are given by

$$J_k = \begin{cases} 0, & 1 \leq k < j, \\ (1-q)(f(b)(1-f(b)) - (\Theta_{j+1} - \Theta_{j+2}) \\ \times (1 - \Theta_{j+1} - \Theta_{j+2})), & k = j, \\ (1-q)(\Theta_k - \Theta_{k+1}) \\ \times (1 - \Theta_k - \Theta_{k+1}), & j+1 \leq k < r, \\ (1-q)\Theta_r(1 - \Theta_r), & k = r. \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

The nested fat shock in this region consists of j layers pinned to the left of the system, as shown in the schematic in the top row of Fig. 3(a).

There are two new nonequilibrium features in Region $\bar{j}$. First, particles 0 through $j-1$ are localized in the interior of the system. Note that the density is nonuniform in most of the NESSs (see the plots in Fig. 4). The precise locations of the region with non-zero density can be calculated from the values of $\theta_0, \dots, \theta_{j-1}$. More importantly, note that particles 1 through $j-1$ are localized away from the boundary. We call this phenomenon *dynamical localization*. The second new feature is the complete absence of negative charges of particles $\bar{1}, \dots, \bar{j}-1$

in the system, even though the boundary rates for these particles are the same as that of any other. This is related to the previous phenomenon since these particles can only enter at the expense of the dynamically localized partners. We call this phenomenon *dynamical expulsion*. Both these phenomena can be seen in the schematic and a simulation in Fig. 3(a). Neither can occur in an equilibrium system.

The phenomena of dynamical localization and dynamical expulsion are also present in Region $\bar{j}$. The value of the densities and currents in Region $\bar{j}$ can be derived from (5) using charge-conjugation symmetry. The schematic and the simulation in Region 2 for the $r = 2$ mASEP are presented in Fig. 3(b).

The $\bar{j}-\bar{j}$ boundary: The currents in this region have the same values as those in region $\bar{j}$ given by (5) with $a = b$.

The 0 particles form a fat shock which is enclosed by the fat shock formed with particles 1 and $\bar{1}$, which is itself enclosed by the fat shock formed with 2 and $\bar{2}$, and so on. All shock fronts perform coordinated movements until one of the extreme fronts gets pinned to the boundary. When that happens, the other fronts continue to move synchronously until either another one gets pinned or the one stuck to the boundary gets unpinned. More and more shock fronts can get pinned until the fat shock containing 0's touches the boundary, at which point the latest front to get pinned can only get unpinned. We thus end up with an instantaneous profile whose schematic is given in the top row of Fig. 3(c). A simulation of the movement of the shock fronts can be seen in the spatiotemporal plot in the bottom row of Fig. 3(c).

The steady state density profile can be obtained by averaging over the uniform shock locations and gives rise to piecewise linear profiles for particles $\bar{j}, \dots, 0, \dots, j$. See the density plots in the $\bar{1}-\bar{1}$ and $2-\bar{2}$ shock lines of Fig. 4 for the results of simulations.

We have determined exactly the complete phase diagram of an r species exclusion process with open bound-




FIG. 3. (Color online) The top row shows schematic plots of the densities ρ_j and $\rho_{\bar{j}}$, for all j , versus the normalized position x illustrating the nested fat shock (a) pinned to the left in region $\bar{j}$, (b) pinned to the right in region $\bar{j}$ and (c) unpinned in the $\bar{j} - \bar{j}$ boundary in (c). The densities $\rho_a(x)$ are plotted against the rescaled location x . The value of $\rho_a(x)$ is the height of the region containing particle a at x . The bottom row shows simulation results of particle locations in time (in multiples of 2000 steps) versus the position m for the mTASEP with $r = 2$ and $n_0 = 70, n_1 = 100, n_2 = 330$ in (a) region 2 ($\alpha = 0.79, \beta = 0.23$), (b) region $\bar{2}$ ($\alpha = 0.25, \beta = 0.73$), and (c) the $2 - \bar{2}$ boundary ($\alpha = \beta = 0.28$). The red, green and blue colors represent $\bar{1}, 0$ and 1 particles respectively. The particles of type 2 and $\bar{2}$ are shown in white. See supplemental material at [<http://goo.gl/B44F1L>, <http://goo.gl/XgBtZK>, <http://goo.gl/oFuVj2>] for movies showing the spatiotemporal evolution of the nested fat shocks in these simulation runs.

aries called the mASEP by using a projection to the semipermeable ASEP [15]. We have shown both by exact analysis and by simulations two new signatures of nonequilibrium physics, namely dynamical localization and expulsion.

Our work opens up a new way of studying a large class of multispecies exclusion processes by systematically exploiting the coloring argument. It would be interesting to see whether experimental realizations can be found for the various phases that we have shown in this work in chemotaxis and chemical reactions.

Acknowledgments: We thank R. Pandit for many useful discussions and the UGC Centre for Advanced Studies for support. The first author (A. A.) acknowledges support from DST grant DST/INT/SWD/VR/P-01/2014. The second author (D. R.) thanks IISc Mathematics Initiative for support.

* AA: arvind@math.iisc.ernet.in

† DR: dipankar.roy15@math.iisc.ernet.in

- [1] B. Derrida, M. R. Evans, V. Hakim, and V. Pasquier, J. Phys. A **26**, 1493 (1993)
- [2] B. Derrida, M. Evans, and K. Mallick, J. Stat. Phys. **79**, 833 (1995) B. Derrida, J. L. Lebowitz, and E. R. Speer, *ibid.* **110**, 775 (2003) J. de Gier and F. H. L. Essler, Phys. Rev. Lett. **95**, 240601 (2005) J. de Gier and F. H. Essler, Phys. Rev. Lett. **107**, 010602 (2011) A. Lazarescu and K. Mallick, J. Phys. A **44**, 315001 (2011)
- [3] T. Bodineau and B. Derrida, Phys. Rev. Lett. **92**, 180601 (2004)
- [4] L. Bertini, A. De Sole, D. Gabrielli, G. Jona-Lasinio, and C. Landim, Phys. Rev. Lett. **94**, 030601 (2005)
- [5] C. Appert-Rolland, M. Ebbinghaus, and L. Santen, Phys. Rep. **593**, 1 (2015), intracellular transport driven by cytoskeletal motors: General mechanisms and defects
- [6] D. Chowdhury, L. Santen, and A. Schadschneider, Phys. Rep. **329**, 199 (2000)
- [7] V. Privman, *Nonequilibrium statistical mechanics in one dimension* (Cambridge University Press, 2005)
- [8] B. Derrida, S. A. Janowsky, J. L. Lebowitz, and E. R. Speer, J. Stat. Phys. **73**, 813 (1993) M. Evans, P. Fer-




FIG. 4. (Color online) Plots of the densities of particles 2 (black crosses), 1 (green squares), 0 (red diamonds), $\bar{1}$ (blue circles), and $\bar{2}$ (pink triangles), versus the scaled position $x = m/n$ for the $r = 2$ mTASEP with $n = 1000$, $\theta_0 = 0.17$, $\theta_1 = 0.45$ and $\theta_2 = 0.38$ in the regions (a) $\bar{1}$ ($\alpha = 0.35, \beta = 0.83$), (b) $\bar{1}$ ($\alpha = 0.73, \beta = 0.29$), (c) $\bar{2}$ ($\alpha = 0.15, \beta = 0.81$), (d) $\bar{2}$ ($\alpha = 0.73, \beta = 0.14$), (e) $\emptyset$ ($\alpha = 0.71, \beta = 0.87$), (f) the $\bar{1} - \bar{1}$ shock line ($\alpha = \beta = 0.32$), and (g) the $\bar{2} - \bar{2}$ shock line ($\alpha = \beta = 0.15$).

rari, and K. Mallick, *ibid.* **135**, 217 (2009) S. Prolhac, M. R. Evans, and K. Mallick, J. Phys. A **42**, 165004 (2009) C. Arita, A. Ayer, K. Mallick, and S. Prolhac, J. Phys. A **44**, 335004 (2011) A. Ayer, J. L. Lebowitz, and E. R. Speer, Markov Process. Related Fields **18**, 157 (2012) C. Arita, A. Ayer, K. Mallick, and S. Prolhac, J. Phys. A **45**, 195001, 16 (2012) C. Arita and K. Mallick, J. Phys. A **46**, 085002, 11 (2013) A. Ayer and S. Linusson, Adv. in Appl. Math. **57**, 21 (2014) N. Crampe, K. Mallick, E. Ragoucy, and M. Vanicat, J. Phys. A **48**, 175002 (2015) N. Crampe, C. Finn, E. Ragoucy, and M. Vanicat, J. Phys. A **49**, 375201 (2016) N. Crampe, M. Evans, K. Mallick, E. Ragoucy, and M. Vanicat, arXiv preprint arXiv:1606.08148 (2016)

- [9] M. J. Simpson, K. A. Landman, and B. D. Hughes, Physica A **388**, 399 (2009) C. J. Penington, B. D. Hughes, and K. A. Landman, Phys. Rev. E **84**, 041120 (2011)
- [10] K. J. Painter, Bull. Math. Biol. **71**, 1117 (2009)
- [11] M. Bruna and S. J. Chapman, J. Chem. Phys. **137**, 204116 (2012), <http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.4767058>
- [12] L. Cantini, A. Garbali, J. de Gier, and M. Wheeler, arXiv preprint arXiv:1607.00039 (2016)
- [13] C. Arita, J. Stat. Mech. Theory Exp., P12008, 18 pp. (electronic) (2006)
- [14] A. Ayer, J. L. Lebowitz, and E. R. Speer, J. Stat. Phys. **135**, 1009 (2009)
- [15] M. Uchiyama, Chaos Solitons Fractals **35**, 398 (2008)